

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITED STATES BEFORE AND THE STATES OF PATENTS
PO BN 1659
ARGANIA Virginia 22313-1459
www.tabla.go.

APPLICATION NO FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO CONFIRMATION NO. 09/973,207 10/09/2001 Bernand Havezux 7424 25264 06/04/2004 FINA TECHNOLOGY INC TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE PO BOX 674412 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/973,207	HAVEAUX ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED O4 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the application is required to sword abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a rise replaced under 37 CFF 1.11 may got by either (1) a temple for diameterist which places the application in Scannination (ROE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \(\sum \) The period for reply expire \(\frac{2}{2}\) moths from the making date of the first legislator.\(\) \(\sum \) The period for reply expires on (1) he making date of the Andrey Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which we shall be a set forth in the final rejection.\(reply expires to the period for only only the last real SX ANDRIPS from the melting date of the final rejection.\(\text{ reply expires the period for only only the last real SX ANDRIPS from the melting date of the final rejection.\(\text{ reply expires the period for the period from the period for the period from the period from the period for the period from the period for t		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 		
 (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without cancell NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject 	ion(s)	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ∑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Street.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be foliated and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 34 and 36-60.		1 1
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		1 1
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nl(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- 1
10. Other:		D. Lawrence Tarazeno Primary Examiner
Payer and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 1773
OL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advis	ory Action	Part of Paper No. 20040601

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the terminal disclaimer is defective. The attorney is not of record.

